e-3 department of national development

BUREAU OF MINERAL RESOURCES, GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS

RECORD No. 1964/101

WILMOT POWER DEVELOPMENT GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY.

TASMANIA 1963

Dy

P.E. MANN

RECORD No. 1964/101

WILMOT POWER DEVELOPMENT GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY.

TASMANIA 1963

Dy

P.E. MANN

The information contained in this report has been obtained by the Department of National Development as part of the policy of the Commonwealth Government to assist in the exploration and development of mineral resources. It may not be published in any form or used in a company prospectus or statement without the permission in writing of the Director, Bureau of Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics.

CONTENTS

		Page
	SUMMARY	
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	GEOLOGY	1
3.	METHODS AND EQUIPMENT	2
4.	RESULTS	2
5.	CONCLUSIONS	4
6.	REFERENCES	4

ILLUSTRATIONS

Plate 1.	Geology, seismic traverses, and (Drawing No locality map	lo.K55/B5-62)
Plate 2.	Seismic cross-section, M1 to M73	(K55/B5-63)
Plate 3.	Seismic cross-section, M73 to M144	(K55/B5-64)
Plate 4.	Seismic cross-section, M144 to M185	(K55/B5-65)
Plate 5.	Seismic cross-sections, Traverses A and B	(K55/B5-60)
Plate 6.	Seismic cross-sections, Traverses C and D	(K55/B5-61)

SUMMARY

A seismic refraction survey along the Wilmot tunnel line, which is part of the Mersey-Forth-Wilmot Power Development scheme, was made in order to determine the conditions in the bedrock along the proposed tunnel level.

The method of differences' and 'step-out times' procedures were used for interpretation.

The results indicate that the tunnel level is within bedrock (seismic velocity 11,000 to 17,500 ft/sec) for considerable lengths of the traverse. The tunnel level is in, or close to, Terthary clay and sand at the centre of the traverse between M70 and M108. This part should be investigated further by drilling.

A shear zone in which the seismic velocity is 7000 ft/sec is indicated between Stations M165 and M167.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Wilmot Power Development is part of the Mersey-Forth-Wilmot Power Development Scheme of the Hydro-Electric Commission of Tasmania. The Wilmot tunnel will carry water impounded by a dam on the Wilmot River just below the confluence of the Iris and Lee Rivers to a power station on the Forth River. The water will be used again at several power stations at the Cethana, Barrington, and Paloona dam sites along the Forth River.

The results of a geophysical survey by the Bureau of Mineral Resources at the Wilmot dam site, inlet and outlet portal of the proposed tunnel, and the penstock line are given by Polak (1962). The survey showed deep weathering of rock in the inlet portal area. To avoid poor tunnelling conditions at the inlet portal the Commission proposed a new tunnel line which was expected to be in sound rock along the whole length. The tunnel will be about 14,000 ft long and the depth below the surface will range from about 200 ft to 600 ft.

In response to a request by the Commission, the Bureau made a seismic refraction survey in February 1963 to determine the presence of shear or fault zones and the depth of weathering along the tunnel line, and to enable conclusions to be made about the quality of the rock at tunnel level. The geophysical party consisted of P.E. Mann (party leader and geophysicist) and F. Jewell (the Commission's geophysicist). The Commission supplied four field assistants and supervised the topographical survey of the traverse bores by a contract surveyor.

When about 9000 ft of traverse had been surveyed using the 'method of differences', the party moved out after arranging for Mr Jewell to survey required sections at a later date.

Further seismic work on the tunnel line was done in June 1963 by F. Jewell using the 'step-out times' technique. The results were checked and recomputed by P.E. Mann.

2. GEOLOGY

Plate 1 shows the proposed tunnel line, which was mapped geologically by Patterson (pers. comm.).

The general geology and stratigraphy of the area (also after Patterson) is summarised by Polak (1962).

Two holes, viz. DDH 4558 and 4559, have been drilled in Bell Valley close to the proposed tunnel line. The log of DDH 4559 indicates 20 ft of alluvial sand, clay, and gravel overlying nearly 80 ft of volcanic breccia and agglomerate. Underneath this layer 40 ft of Tertiary clay and sand were drilled through before the drillhole reached the sandstone of the Moina Formation at a depth of 137 ft.

3. METHODS AND EQUIPMENT

A detailed description of the seismic refraction method using the method of differences (Heiland 1946, p.548) has been given by Polak and Moss (1959). Continuous traversing with 50-ft geophone spacing and shot-points at 25 and 200 ft from the nearest geophone were used on Traverses A, B, C, and D, and between Stations 1 and 181 on Traverse M. On Traverses A and B, and between Stations 61 and 101 on Traverse M, extra shots were fired at 400 ft from the nearest geophone. Between M87 and M155 extra geophone spreads of 1100-ft length were used with 100-ft geophone spacing and shot-points 100 and 1100 ft from the nearest geophone. 1100-ft spread between M65 and M87 and a 550-ft spread between M155 and M166, the shot distances used were 100 ft at each end and a single shot at 1100 ft from the nearest geophone. The arrangement of shot-point and spread adopted meant that the close (100-ft) shotpoint of one spread was the long-distance (1100-ft) shot-point for an adjacent spread. Between M87 and M155 the reciprocal time was obtained by extrapolating the time/distance curves of the longdistance shot. Between M65 and M87 and between M155 and 166, the depth to the highest-velocity refractor was calculated by the method of 'step-out times' (Barthelmes, 1946).

The seismic equipment used in February 1963 was a portable SIE 12-channel P-19 refraction seismograph. An SIE 24-channel PRO-11-6 camera was used with an Electro-Tech seismod display unit to give conventional and variable-density records.

TIC geophones with a natural frequency of about 20 c/s were used. In June 1963 the seismic survey was made with similar equipment and Electro-Tech geophones with a natural frequency of about 15 c/s.

4. RESULTS

Plate 1 shows the layout of the seismic traverse. Table 1 gives an interpretation of the seismic velocities in geological terms based on the geological mapping, logs of drill-hole cores, and experience in other areas.

TABLE 1

Seismic velocity (ft/sec)	Rock type
1000	Soil and talus material
3000 to 6000	Weathered volcanic breccia
7000 to 8500	Partly-weathered volcanic breccia; partly-weathered, jointed Moina sandstone
9000 to 13,000	Jointed Moina sandstone; unweathered volcanic breccia
14,000 to 17,000÷	Unweathered Moina sandstone

The seismic cross-section of the tunnel-line traverse and cross traverses are shown in Plates 2 to 6.

At the western end of Traverse M (Plate 2) a bed in which the velocity is 12,500 ft/sec is located underneath a bed of weathered rock in which the seismic velocity is 7000 ft/sec. Near Station M52 the bed of weathered rock becomes thin. A deeper refractor (seismic velocity 15,000 ft/sec) has been recorded below a 10,500 to 11,500-ft/sec layer between Stations M31 and M45.

Farther east between Stations M71 and M157 (Plates 2, 3, and 4) an intermediate layer of 9000 to 13,000-ft/sec velocity was recorded between the 7000-ft/sec weathered surface layer and the deepest refractor, in which the velocity is between 14,000 and 17,000-ft/sec in this zone.

The logs of drill holes DDH 4558 and 4559 (see Plate 3) show Tertiary sands and clays sandwiched between the volcanic breccia and agglomerate and the Moina Formation. Seismic velocities in the Tertiary sands and clays are expected to be lower than in the volcanic breccia and agglomerate (9000 - 13,000-ft/sec at Wilmot). This velocity reversal prevents an accurate depth determination of the deepest refractor, the Moina sandstone and quartzite. Therefore, average velocities were computed on the basis of two alternative hypotheses. Seismic profiles A and B were calculated using these average velocities and are plotted in Plates 3 and 4. The true depth should be between those two extreme indications.

Profile A was obtained by assuming that the boundary located in DDH 4559 at the depth of 140 ft is the top of the 16,000-ft/sec refractor. The average velocity above the refractor was obtained by dividing 140 ft by the time-depth from seismic results. The depth to the refractor was then calculated using this value.

Profile B was calculated by assuming that no velocity reversal occurs, <u>i.e.</u> the refractor in which the intermediate velocity was recorded (on seismic spreads with 50-ft geophone spacing) extends downwards to the deepest refractor (recorded with 100-ft geophone spacing).

Between M71 and M99 Profile B is about 40 ft deeper than Profile A. Generally the difference is less than 20 ft between Stations M99 and M131, indicating that Tertiary clays and sand are thinner or perhaps non-existent.

On the seismic cross-section between M131 and M156 (Plates 3 and 4) the velocity in the intermediate layer is 8500-ft/sec and only Profile B was computed and plotted.

East of Station M157 to the end of the surveyed traverse (M182 in Plate 4) the 8500-ft/sec layer is no longer observed. The seismic velocity of 11,000 to 14,000 ft/sec in the bedrock is interpreted as belonging to the Moina Formation. A low seismic velocity of 7000-ft/sec in bedrock between Stations M165 and M167 may represent a shear zone.

On Traverses A, B, C, and D (Plates 5 and 6) the layer of volcanic breccia and agglomerate was recorded with short shot-distances. With long shot-distances the deepest refractor was recorded in which the seismic velocities are 11,000 to 17,500 ft/sec, representing Moina Formation.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Between Station M1 and M71, M111 and about M165, and M167 and M181, the tunnel will probably be in the Moina Formation, represented by good-quality rock in which the velocity is 12,000 to 17,500 ft/sec.

Between Stations M70 and M108 the proposed tunnel is close to, or in, the intermediate layer above the deepest refractor, indicating that Tertiary clays and sands may be met during tunnelling operations because these were found undermeath the volcanic breccia and agglomerate in drill holes 4558 and 4559.

A shear zone is indicated between M165 and M167, but it may not be vertically below these stations at the tunnel level.

Additional drill holes on the tunnel line are recommended between M69 and M111 to check the extent of the sand and clay beneath the volcanic breccia and agglomerate.

	6.	REFERENCES	
BARTHELMES, A.J.		1946	Application of continuous profiling to refraction shooting. Geophysics 11 (1), 24-42.
HETLAND, C.A.		1946	GEOPHYSICAL PROSPECTING. New York, Prentice Hall Inc.
POLAK, E.J.		1962	Wilmot Power Development geophysical survey, Tasmania 1961. Bur. Min. Resour. Aust. Rec. 1962/96 (unpubl.)
POLAK, E.J. and MOSS, F.J.		1959	Geophysical survey at the Cluny dam site, Derwent River, Tasmania. Bur. Min. Resour. Aust. Rec. 1959/87 (unpubl.)













