b6 / 106 (3)

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

BUREAU OF MINERAL RESOURCES, GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS

RECORD No. 1966/106

GRAVITY INVESTIGATION OF THE THICKNESS OF BASALT COVER IN THE EINASLEIGH 1:250,000 MAP AREA, QUEENSLAND 1960

by

W.J. LANGRON

RECORD No. 1966/106

GRAVITY INVESTIGATION OF THE THICKNESS OF BASALT COVER IN THE EINASLEIGH 1:250,000 MAP AREA, QUEENSLAND 1960

by

W.J. LANGRON

The information contained in this report has been obtained by the Department of National Development as part of the policy of the Commonwealth Government to assist in the exploration and development of mineral resources. It may not be published in any form or used in a company prospectus or statement without the permission in writing of the Director, Bureau of Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics.

CONTENTS

		Page	
	SUMMARY	,	
1.	INTRODUCTION 1		
2.	GEOLOGY	1	
3.	DISCUSSION OF GRAVITY RESULTS 1		
4.	CONCLUSIONS 3		
5.	REFERENCES	3	
APPENDIX	C. Operational details	4	
	ILLUSTRATIONS		
Plate 1.	Traverse plan and geology	(Drawing No. E55/B2-34)	
Plate 2.	Bouguer anomaly and elevation profiles	(E55/B2_35)	

SUMMARY

A gravity traverse was made across an extensive lava flow in the Einasleigh district, North Queensland, in an attempt to measure variations in thickness of the basalt cover. The survey failed in this respect, but the gravity results clearly show the presence of two plugs of dense material located at the foci of volcanic activity. The principal gravity feature is due to a huge mass of Permian granite beneath the basalt cover.

The relation between the gravity results and other points of geology is discussed.

i. INTRODUCTION

During the course of regional gravity measurements along the east coast of Australia (Flavelle, 1966), gravity stations were occupied at intervals of one mile over a section of traverse between the Lynd Homestead and the northern border of the Einasleigh 1:250,000 map area. This station spacing was adopted to investigate the thickness of basalt, which covers a large part of the area. The investigation was made at the request of the Geological Branch of the BMR, which was carrying but geological mapping in this region.

The location of the traverse is shown in Plate 1.

2. GEOLOGY

The geology of the Einasleigh map area is discussed by White (1963) and the geological map of the area forms the basis of Plate 1. The subject of Cainozoic basalts in the area is discussed specifically by Best (1959).

About one third of the area bounded by the Einasleigh sheet is covered by basalt. The basalt is mainly effusive and was extruded through many vents. One hundred and twelve volcances have been located, and most of these lie within the McBride Basalt Province. The maximum thickness of the basalt is not known; it is at least 375 feet and possibly 2000 feet.

The volcanic activity continued through from the upper Miocene to Recent, and probably into historical time. The youngest basalt flow is the Kinrara Flow and both the crater and the flow have all their structures perfectly preserved.

The basalts for the most part coalesced and overlapped and so built a large plateau that completely buried the pre-basalt topography. The pre-Miocene rocks consist mainly of sediments within the Palaeozoic Tasman Geosyncline; these sediments have been folded and faulted and intruded by granites of at least two ages. There are extensive outcrops of granite in the area. Schists, gneisses, serpentinites, and amphibolites of Proterozoic and Archean age also crop out in the area.

3. DISCUSSION OF GRAVITY RESULTS

To assist in the interpretation of the gravity data the Bouguer anomaly values for stations along the traverse have been calculated using density values of 1.9, 2.2, 2.67, and 2.0 g/cm³ in the Bouguer correction factor. The four gravity profiles together with a plot of the elevation along the traverse are shown in Plate 2.

Much of the traverse is located along the top of a ridge, which in parts rises to over 3000 feet; thus for many stations along the northern portion of the traverse the terrain corrections could be quite large and the final Bouguer anomaly values along this portion of the traverse could be greater than is indicated.

There are two features that are immediately obvious on first inspection of Plate 2. The first of these is that the four gravity profiles are very similar in shape and mean slope, thus suggesting that over a fairly wide range of rock density there is no single value for incorporation in the Bouguer correction.

The second feature of note is that over two sections of the traverse, between H34 and H59 and between H89 and H102, the trend of the gravity profiles follows the trend of the topography. Between H59 and H89, the trend of the gravity profiles is contrary to that of topography. The gravity 'low' in the central portion of the traverse is explained by the presence of a huge mass of Permian granite beneath the basalt cover. The author (1966) has shown a clear correlation between other gravity 'lows' and outcropping granite along traverse EN, which in part crosses the Einasleigh sheet. If we assume the granite body in question to be a vertical cylinder with a diameter of 30 miles, lying 500 feet below the surface, and if we assume a density contrast of 0.20 g/cm³ between it and surrounding (metamorphic) rocks, then for the observed gravity anomaly of 18 milligals we could postulate a depth to the base of the cylinder of 1.4 miles. If the density contrast were 0.30 g/cm² then the depth would be about 1.1 miles. The centre of this granite mass is beneath H74. These depth figures can be regarded as minimum values; it is likely that the diameter of the cylindrical body taken for purposes of calculation is less than 30 miles, although the gravity results indicate that the boundaries of the granite beneath the basalt cover are at H59 and H89 approximately.

If the 'regional' effect were to be removed from the gravity profiles over this granite province then two distinct residual anomalies would be apparent. These anomalies are located about H67 and H79; both are due to high density bodies, most likely basic plugs. The anomaly at H79 is located over the highest (basalt) topographic feature and can be considered to be the main volcanic plug, the top of which is very near the surface. It is the centre of the Undara crater and system of lava tunnels and volcanic foci located about the gravity anomaly. The feature at H67 is interpreted as due to a volcanic plug that does not reach so close to the surface as the basic body at H79. This view is supported by geological mapping; there are no craters in the immediate vicinity of H67. The nearest craters could be apophyses of the main body below H67.

The flow lava produces no obvious effect on the gravity profiles. The density of this continental olivine basalt probably varies greatly; mostly the lava is weathered and vesicular and its density would not exceed that of the granite. The possibility that there is a sufficient thickness of basalt of sufficiently low density to make a significant contribution to the central gravity 'low' is not supported by the gravity evidence.

Between H34 and H59 the gravity profiles indicate the presence of a deep seated high-density structure. There is little change in the amplitude of the anomaly on all profiles thus indicating a deep source for the anomaly. There is no rock type exposed at the surface of sufficient density to account for the gravity anomaly. One must therefore postulate some sort of sub-crustal doming with possibly magnetic differentiation associated with the introduction of the Permian granite to explain the gravity feature.

Irregularities in the gravity profiles between H51 and H59 are probably due to metamorphism and differentiation in the zone marginal to the granite body.

Correlation between the gravity profiles and elevation along the northern end of the traverse (H89-H102) is not so pronounced as on the southern portion of the traverse. It would appear that the traverse here enters near-surface Archean gneisses and schists that have a density higher than that of the granite. The small anomaly feature at H98 is located on a local topographic 'high'; it will also be noted that the anomaly disappears with an increase in the density value used in the Bouguer correction factor. Hence it is concluded that the gravity anomaly is due to a small body of high density lying close to the surface. Such a rock type is serpentinite or amphibolite, which, it is noted, occurs in association with an Archean outcrop to the east of the traverse.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Although the gravity results do not assist in determining the variation in thickness of the basalt cover, they have assisted in clarifying the geology along this traverse. That the granite body is as extensive as is suggested from the gravity interpretation is supported by the widespread occurrence of granite in outcrop and the presence of 'windows' of granite near to the traverse.

The prediction of two plugs of dense (basic) material is made with a considerable degree of confidence. The explanations offered for gravity effects obviously due to deep-seated causes are, as is usual in such instances, largely conjectural.

5. REFERENCES

BEST, J.G.	1959	Cainozoic basalts on the Einasleigh 4-mile sheet, North Queensland. Bur. Min. Resour. Aust. Rec. 1959/117.
FLAVELLE, A.J.	1966	Gravity meter measurements between pendulum stations, eastern Australia 1959-1960. Bur. Min. Resour. Aust. Rec. 1966/45.
LANGRON, W.J.	1966	Regional gravity traverses, north Queensland 1963. Bur. Min. Resour. Aust. Rec. 1966/94.
WHITE, D.A.	1963	Einasleigh, Qld., 1:250,000 geological series, sheet E/55-9.

Bur. Min. Resour. Aust. Explan. Notes.

APPENDIX

Operational details

Staff:

Geophysicist J. van Son

Vehicle: One International station waggon (C84289) equipped with Western elevation meter 204.

Duration of survey: 10-16th May, 1960.

This investigation was included in the course of a regional gravity survey between Melbourne (Victoria) and Cairns (Queensland).

Instrument: Worden gravity meter, serial No. 260, with calibration factor 0.108 mgal/scale division was used for this work. The meter was calibrated on the Ferntree Gully - Kallista Range in February 1960 and on the Melbourne Calibration Range in July 1960. Meter drift was determined by reading stations in the sequence 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 1, 6, 7, etc.

During the survey, quite severe tapping of the meter was required to release the beam from the stops. Such action did not appear to affect the accuracy of the readings.

In general, drift of the meter was satisfactory.

Elevations: Benchmarks of the Queensland Department of Main Roads were used. All elevations are referred to Queensland State Datum.

Plotting: The co-ordinates of the stations were obtained by reference to the R.A.S.C. compilation for Einasleigh. All stations were picked on airphotos so that station positions can be upgraded when final base maps become available.

Tie stations: The observed gravity values of all stations have been adjusted to the May 1965 Isogal' values for BMR pendulum stations No. 52 at Cairns and No. 53 at Hughenden.

Bouguer anomalies: The Bouguer anomalies were calculated using densities of 1.9, 2.2, 2.67, and 3.0 g/cm³ in the Bouguer correction.

Gravity data files: (Stored in the BMR Gravity Group)

No. 6003.1 : Level data and station descriptions

6003.21 : Gravity field sheets 6003.22 : Gravity drift plots 6003.3 : Final elevations

6003.4 : Gravity calculation sheets.



