#### COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

BUREAU OF MINERAL RESOURCES, GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS

054316

Record No. 1969 / 65



Floating Marks for the Evaluation of Altitude Differences and Slopes from Air-Photographs

by

C. Maffi

The information contained in this report has been obtained by the Department of National Development as part of the policy of the Commonwealth Government to assist in the exploration and development of mineral resources. It may not be published in any form or used in a company prospectus or statement without the permission in writing of the Director. Bureau of Mineral Resources. Geology & Geophysics.



#### FLOATING MARKS

for the evaluation of altitude differences and slopes from air photographs

by

#### C. Maffi

### Record 1969/65

#### CONTENTS

	Page
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	1
CONSTRUCTION AND USE	1
ALTITUDE FLOATING MARKS	3
Measuring process of altitude difference between	en
two points	4
SLOPE FLOATING MARKS	5
Measuring process of inclinations	5
CONCLUSIONS	6
TABLE 1 - Altitude floating marks, f/b correction	
TABLE 2 - Altitude floating marks, scale correction	
TABLE 3 - Slope floating marks, f/b correction	
TABLE 4 - Convertion table between decimal and Engli	sh

Sets of floating marks in back pocket

systems

The information contained in this report has been obtained by the Department of National Development, as part of the policy of the Commonwealth Government, to assist in the exploration and development of mineral resources. It may not be published in any form or used in a company prospectus without the permission in writing of the Director, Bureau of Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics.

#### SUMMARY

Stereoscopic marks with fixed parallax were prepared as a substitute for the parallax bar to evaluate, almost without calculations, altitude differences and inclinations from air-photographs.

This paper describes their construction and use.

#### INTRODUCTION

The photo-interpreter is often faced with the necessity of measuring altitude differences and inclinations from air-photographs. These measurements are generally made with a parallax bar, an accurate but rather expensive instrument, whose use involves calculations that may be a nuisance in particular circumstances.

On the other hand, the evaluation of such data without a proper device may be affected by errors deriving from the vertical stereoscopic exaggeration.

When an approximate but quick measure is required, floating marks can be used. They are transparent marks with fixed parallax, designed to be used in pairs under the stereoscope. A pair is chosen to obtain a stereo-model that tallies with the topographic or structural feature to be measured. The measure is obtained by entering into a conversion table the value printed on the marks.

### CONSTRUCTION AND USE

Two sets of marks were prepared:

- a) Marks for altitude measurements;
- b) Marks for slope measurements.

The parallax displacement, which characterizes each mark, depends upon:

- 1) The quantity to be measured;
- 2) The airphoto data, namely flight height, focal length of camera and overlap; they are a function of the fundamental ratio f/b between focal length and photo-base.

The obtainable measure and the value of f/b are printed on each mark.

Normally the actual photo-coverage data are slightly different from
the theoretical ones supplied by the photographer; therefore the measures

are approximate.

The letters 'L" and "R" printed on the marks refer to the left and right eyes.

The arrows on the marks refer to the direction of the parallax displacement. Therefore, if two marks are used in normal position, with their arrows in opposite directions, the values of the marks are to be added up to obtain the measure. If one of the two marks is overturned (arrows in the same direction) the value of the overturned mark is to be subtracted from the value of the other mark; negative differences correspond with inverted stereo-models.

The best results are obtained when marks of similar value are used: e.g. two 5° marks instead of a 0° and a 10° mark.

It was impossible to prepare sets of marks for every possible value of the fundemental ratio; therefore the value f/t = 1 was chosen and for different values a correction has to be applied.

The photo-scale is a factor for the calculation of the altitude marks. The 1:100,000 scale was chosen and for different values a scale correction has to be applied in addition to the f/b correction.

Both corrections can be made by means of the tables enclosed at the end of this paper.

The first step for the use of the marks is the calculation of the ratio f/b and, for the altitude marks, also the calculation of the photo-scale.

The value of f is printed on the air\_photographs. To obtain the value of b, the following process is suggested:

- 1) Plot on each photo the principal point PP (geometrical centre) and the transferred principal point TPP (principal point of the other photo);
- 2) Measure the distance between PP's and TPP's on each photo; they will be slightly different: chose the shorter one.

An accurate value for the photo-scale is obtainable by measuring the distance between two easily identifiable points, both on the photo and on a map. But often this is impossible and the only solution is the use of the nominal scale,

$$\frac{1}{S} = a \frac{H}{f}$$

where: S = denominator of scale

H = flight height (printed on the photo)

f = focal length of camera (printed on the photo)

a is a constant depending on the system of measure:

a = 1 if H and f are given in the same system;

a = 305 if H is given in feet and f in mm.

NOTE 1 - The air photographs should be always set so that the flight line is parallel to the stereoscope axis.

NOTE 2 - The floating marks are to be used always with the axes of the arrows parallel to the flight line.

NOTE 3 - The decimal system was used; a conversion table to the english system is enclosed at the end of this paper.

#### ALTITUDE FLOATING MARKS

The stereo-model is a small circle that floats above a base-surface. The base is formed by crosses, squares and circles, arranged in rows having different directions, in order to allow altitude measurements to be made on randomly oriented slopes.

The parallax differences for the floating circles were calculated from the equation:

$$\Delta p = \Delta h \cdot \frac{b}{f} \cdot \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{H}{H - \Delta h}$$

where:  $\Delta p$  = parallax difference between floating circle and base

 $\Delta h$  = corresponding altitude difference

b = photo-base

f = focal length of camera

S = denominator of photo-scale

H = flight altitude

As  $\Delta h$  is very small in comparison with H, the factor  $\frac{H}{H - \Delta h}$  was assumed = 1.

Altitude floating marks were prepared for the following values of  $\,h$ : 10 m , 20 m , 50 m , 100 m , 200 m. By combining different marks, a large range of values up to 400 m in obtainable.

## Measuring process of elevation difference between two points

Calculate the values of f/b and S. If they are respectively 1 and 100,000, then:

- 1) Put one of the "L" marks on the left image and one of the "R" marks on the right image.
- 2) Adjust marks and image under the stereoscope until the floating circle coincides with the upper point to be measured.
- 3) If the base-surface of the mark is higher or lower than the lower point to be measured, switch to another pair of marks until the nearest pair is found.
- 4) If the arrows on the marks are in opposite directions add up the values of the marks; if they are in the same direction subtract the value of the overturned mark from the value of the other. The result is the elevation difference between the two points.

When  $f/b \neq 1$  the measure obtained through points 1) to 4) has to be corrected by means of Table 1.

When the photo-scale is different from 1:100,000, also Table 2 has to be used.

A rapid evaluation of the average inclination of slope between two points is possible as well. Crosses, squares and circles that form the base-surface are set at constant intervals from the centre of the mark. The length in millimeters of the constant interval, "d" is shown on the marks and may be used to measure the horizontal distance between the images of the two points. As the photo-scale is known, from this distance the average inclination of slope is easily calculated. However, for more accurate measures, the slope floating marks should be used.

#### SLOPE FLOATING MARKS

The stereo-model is an inverted cone. The angle between base and generatrix was calculated from the equation

$$\tan \alpha = \Delta p \cdot \frac{f}{b} \cdot \frac{1}{r}$$

where:  $\alpha$  = angle of slope with horizontal plane

 $\Delta_p$  = parallax difference between vertex and centre of cone

f = focal length of camera

b = photo-base

r = radius of base of cone.

Slope floating marks were prepared for the following values of  $\alpha:1^{\circ}$ ,  $3^{\circ}$ ,  $5^{\circ}$ ,  $9^{\circ}$ ,  $10^{\circ}$ ,  $12^{\circ}$ ,  $15^{\circ}$ ,  $20^{\circ}$ ,  $25^{\circ}$ ,  $30^{\circ}$  and  $40^{\circ}$ . By combining different marks, a large number of values up to  $40^{\circ}$  is obtainable; values higher than  $40^{\circ}$  are difficult to evaluate because of the excessive parallax difference.

## Measuring process of inclinations

Calculate the value of f/b. If f/b = 1, then:

- 1) Put one of the "L" marks on the left image of the exposed bed or slope and one of the "R" marks on the right image.
- 2) Adjust marks and image under the stereoscope until the vertex of the mark coincide with the base of the bed or slope.
- 3) If the generatrix of the inverted cone is higher or lower than the surface of the bed or slope, switch to another pair of marks until the nearest pair of marks is found.
- 4) if the arrows on the marks are in opposite directions, add up the values of the marks; if they are in the same direction, subtract the value of the overturned mark from the value of the other. The result is the dip of bed or slope.

When  $f/b \neq 1$ , the measure obtained through points 1) to 4) has to be corrected by means of Table 3.

The perspective effect (relief displacement) can remarkably alter the slope estimation. This effect was not accounted for in the preparation of the marks; their use is thus correct only for a belt of 10° on both sides of the line of flight. On usual air photographs, this limit is about 3 cm off the line of flight; however a limit of 6 cm is considered good enough for approximate measurements. Outside this belt the use of the marks should be restricted to the measure of features dipping less than 5° (perspective effect is directly proportional to the inclination) or to the measure of dips or slopes having strike perpendicular to the line of flight (no perspective effect).

#### CONCLUSIONS

The use of floating marks rather than a parallax bar for the evaluation of altitude differences and inclinations allows the photo-interpreter a remarkable saving of time, particularly when experience has been gained through exercise. The measures are not accurate, but good enough for normal work.

Because of these characteristics the floating marks are considered particularly useful during field mapping supported by photo-interpretation.

-7-

## TABLE 1 - ALTITUDE FLOATING MARKS, 1/b CORRECTION

If	f/b-is →	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.0
and	i Ah (m)			the	corr	ect v	alue ↓	of $\Delta$	h (m)	is		
	10	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	20	20	22	24	26	28	30	32	34	36	38	40
	30	30	33	36	39	42	45	48	51	54	56	60
	40	40	44	48	52	56	60	64	. 68	72	76	80
	50	50	- 55	60	65	70	75.	80	85	90	95	100
	60	60	66	72	78	84	90	96	<b>102</b> 2	108	112	120
	70	70	77	84	91	98	105	112	149	126	~ <del>13</del> 3	140
1	80	80	88	96	104	112	120	128	136	144	152	160
	90	90	99	108	117	126	135	144	153	162	171	180
	100	100	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	200

For greater values of  $\Delta h$ : e.g.  $\Delta h$  = 140, f/b = 1.3

100 correct value: 130

40 correct value: 52

140 correct value: 182

## TABLE 2 - ALTITUDE FLOATING MARKS, SCALE CORRECTION

100,000 90,000 80,000 70,000 60,000 multiply the 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 correct ∆h by → 1.0 50,000 40,000 30,000 20,000 10,000 IfsS is → multiply the 0.1 0.4 0.3 correct th by → 0.5

Example: Let assume that an altitude difference of 60 m has been measured on photos having f/b = 1.6 and S = 50,000. From Table 1 we obtain a correct  $\Delta h = 96$  m; on Table 2 we find the correction factor 0.5. Thus the final correct value is 48 m.

NOTE: Intermediate values can be easily interpolated.

# TABLE 3 - SLOPE FLOATING MARKS, 1/b CORRECTION

NOTE: For  $\alpha < 5^{\circ}$  the correct value is approximated to the nearest 30°. For greater  $\alpha$  the correct value is approximated to the nearest 1°.

OI	D+ •	<b></b>	-·										
If f	?/b	is-	<b>&gt;1.</b> 00	1.10	1.20	1.30	1.40	1.50	1.60	1.70	1.80	1.90	2.00
and	ط ع	is		•	the	corre	ct valu	ue of	ત્ર is				
	10		1°001	1 <sup>0</sup> 00'	1 <sup>0</sup> 001	1 <sup>0</sup> 301	1º301	1 <sup>0</sup> 30'	1 <sup>0</sup> 301	1 <sup>0</sup> 301		20001	2°00
	20		20001	2000	20301	20301	3 <sup>0</sup> 00'	3 <sup>0</sup> 001	3 <sup>0</sup> 001	3°30'	3 <sup>0</sup> 301	4 <sup>0</sup> 00¹	4°00
	3°		3°00'	3°301	3030	_	40001	4°30'	-		5 <sup>0</sup> 301		6°00
	<u>ာ</u>		4º00'	4°30'	50001	5º001	5°301	6 <sup>0</sup> 00'	6°30!		7°00'	70901	8000
•	50	•	5 <sup>0</sup>	.50	60	6 <sup>0</sup> .	70	7°	80	80	9°	9 <sup>0</sup>	10 <sup>0</sup>
	. 5 60		60	70	70	8°	80	9°	10 <sup>0</sup>	10 <sup>0</sup>		110	12 <sup>0</sup>
-	7°		70	80	80	90	10 <sup>0</sup>	10 <sup>0</sup>	11 <sup>0</sup>	12 <sup>0</sup>	12 <sup>0</sup>	13 <sup>0</sup>	14 <sup>0</sup>
	80		80		10 <sup>0</sup>	10 <sup>0</sup>	11 <sup>0</sup>	12 <sup>0</sup>	13°	13°	14 <sup>0</sup>	15 <sup>0</sup>	16 <sup>0</sup>
•	9°		90	10 <sup>0</sup>	110	120	12 <sup>0</sup>	13 <sup>0</sup>	14 <sup>0</sup>	15 <sup>0</sup>	16 <sup>0</sup>		18°
	10 <sup>0</sup>		10 <sup>0</sup>	110	120	13°	14 <sup>0</sup>	15 <sup>0</sup>	16 <sup>0</sup>	17 <sup>0</sup>	18°	18 <sup>0</sup>	19 <sup>0</sup>
. :	10	•	110	12 <sup>0</sup>	13 <sup>0</sup>	14 <sup>0</sup>	15 <sup>0</sup>	16 <sup>0</sup>	17 <sup>0</sup>	18 <sup>0</sup>	19 <sup>0</sup>	20°	21 <sup>0</sup>
÷.	110		120	13°	14 <sup>0</sup>	15 <sup>0</sup>	16 <sup>0</sup>	18 <sup>0</sup>	19 <sup>0</sup>	20°	21 <sup>0</sup>	22 <sup>0</sup>	23°
	120	,	12	14 <sup>0</sup>	15 <sup>0</sup>	170	18 <sup>0</sup>	19 <sup>0</sup>	20°	210	22°	24°	25°
•	13 <sup>0</sup>	)	13 <sup>0</sup>	15 <sup>0</sup>	170	18°	19 <sup>0</sup>	20°	220	23 <sup>0</sup>	24°	25 <sup>0</sup>	26 <sup>0</sup>
	140	)		16 <sup>0</sup>	18 <sup>0</sup>	19 <sup>0</sup>	20°	22 <sup>0</sup>	23°	24 <sup>0</sup>	26 <sup>0</sup>	27°	28°
٠.	15°	)	150	170	19 <sup>0</sup>	20 <sup>0</sup>	22 <sup>0</sup>	23°	25 <sup>0</sup>	26 <sup>0</sup>	27 <sup>0</sup>	28°	30°
	16 <sup>C</sup>	, 1	16 <sup>0</sup>	180	200	22 <sup>0</sup>	23°	25 <sup>0</sup>	26 <sup>0</sup>	28°	29 <sup>0</sup>	30°	31°
•.•	17		170	20 <sup>0</sup>	21 <sup>0</sup>	23°	24 <sup>0</sup>	26°	27°	29 <sup>0</sup>	30°	31 <sup>0</sup>	્ર32 <sup>0</sup>
	180	,	180	20 21 <sup>0</sup>	22°	240	26°	270	29°	300	32°	33°	34°
· .	19	n D	19 <sup>0</sup>	220	23°	25 <sup>0</sup>	270	-29°	30°	32 <sup>0</sup>	33°	35 <sup>0</sup>	36°
	20		200	32 <sup>0</sup>	35 <sup>0</sup>	37°	39°	410	43°	440	46 <sup>0</sup>	48 <sup>0</sup>	49 <sup>0</sup>
	30	0	30°	32 430	45 <sup>0</sup>	47°	490	51 <sup>0</sup>	53°	55°	56°	58°	59°
	40	0	40°	43 <sup>0</sup>	55 <sup>0</sup>	57°	59°	61 <sup>0</sup>	63°	64°	65 <sup>0</sup>	66 <sup>0</sup>	67 <sup>0</sup>
	50	0	50°	53°	64 <sup>0</sup>	66°	68°	69 <sup>0</sup>	70°	710	72°	73°	. 74 <sup>0</sup>
	60	^	60°	62°	73°	74 <sup>0</sup>	75 <sup>0</sup>	.76°	770	78°	79°	79°	80°
	70	<u>,</u>	700	72 <sup>0</sup>	73° 82°	83°	830	840	840	84°	85°	85 <sup>0</sup>	85 <sup>0</sup>
	80	٠ ^	800	810	020	90°	900	90°	900	900	90°	900	900
	90	U	900	90°	90°	90	30	70				<del></del>	

Example: Let assume that a dip of  $12^{\circ}$  has been measured on photos having f/b = 1.6. The correct value is  $19^{\circ}$ .

# TABLE 4 - CONVERSION TABLE BETWEEN DECIMAL AND ENGLISH SYSTEMS

















