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## Name of NATA approved facility

Geoscience Australia – National Geospatial Reference Systems Project

Corner Jerrabomberra Ave and Hindmarsh Drive

Symonston ACT 2609 Australia

Telephone: (02) 6249 9111 Facsimile: (02) 6249 9969

Email: [geodesy@ga.gov.au](mailto:geodesy@ga.gov.au)

## Client Detail

Craig Swinbourne

BHP Billiton Mitsubishi Alliance

PMB Peak Downs Mine,QLD, 4744, Australia

Telephone: (07) 4885 8907 Facsimile: (07) 3229 2575

Email: [craig.swinbourne@bmacoal.com](mailto:craig.swinbourne@bmacoal.com)

Date of request: 02 July 2013

## Expiry of this Report

5 years after authorisation date.

## Abbreviations

AFN Australian Fiducial Network

ARGN Australian Regional GNSS Network

CORS Continuously Operating Reference Station(s)

GDA94 Geocentric Datum Australia 1994

GNSS Global Navigation Satellite System(s)

GPS Global Positioning System

GRS80 Geodetic Reference System 1980

IGS International GNSS Service

ITRF International Terrestrial Reference Frame

ITRF92 International Terrestrial Reference Frame 1992

ITRF2008 International Terrestrial Reference Frame 2008

# Introduction

An application dated 02 July 2013 for verification of a reference standard of measurement under Regulation 12 of the National Measurement Regulations 1999 was received from the PMB Peak Downs Mine, BHP Billiton Mitsubishi Alliance, for verification of GDA94 position on their owned or managed station monument. This report documents the processing and analysis of GPS data observed by the PMB Peak Downs Mine during a 7-day period from 23 June 2013 to 29 June 2013 (day of year 174 to 180) for the station PDM1 to satisfy the position verification requirements.

# Measurand

Station position, at the time of measurement and stated instrumentation, of a GPS monument with respect to the Geocentric Datum of Australia (GDA94) referred to the GRS80 ellipsoid being in the ITRF92 reference frame at the epoch 1994.0.

# Measurand Traceability

Measurement traceability was ensured by comparing the computed solution against the recognised value standard for position of the Australian Fiducial Network stations. Additionally, the computed solution was checked against the ITRF based solutions computed by the IGS and the individual global analysis centres of the IGS. The validity and traceability of the entire GPS system was ensured via its link to the global Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR) and Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) observing networks through the ITRF. The validity and traceability of our internal computation processes were ensured by undertaking standard benchmark analysis prior to this analysis.

# Measurand Uncertainty

Position uncertainties were calculated in accordance with the principles of the ISO Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (1995), with an interval estimated to have a confidence level of 95% at the time of verification. The combined standard uncertainty was converted to an expanded uncertainty using a coverage factor, k, of 2.

Type A uncertainty sources were evaluated by adopting an a priori sigma of 0.001 metre for the precision (1 sigma) of the L1-frequency, one-way, phase observation, at zenith. The corresponding uncertainties of all parameters were determined, by standard error propagation theory, in the least-squares estimation process used in the GPS analysis. Since the formal (internal) precision estimates of GPS solutions are well known to be optimistic, a factor of 10 (i.e. variance scale factor of 100) was subsequently applied to the variance-covariance matrix of the computed GDA94 coordinates.

Type B uncertainty sources, which in practice contribute to position uncertainty, cannot be estimated from the statistical analysis of short-period (i.e. 7-day) observations; these include environmental effects, such as long-period station loading (deformation) processes. Table 1 shows the major type B uncertainty sources for GPS analysis.

Table 1. Type B uncertainty sources (95% C.L.) for position, determined from GPS, and the total uncertainty, assuming the normal distribution of the uncertainty sources, high degrees of freedom and a coverage factor, k, of 2.

| Uncertainty Source | Position Uncertainty Horizontal (mm) | Position Uncertainty Vertical (mm) |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Antenna phase centre | 3 | 10 |
| Monument stability | 1 | 1 |
| Other sources including un-modelled crustal loading, satellite orbit variations, atmosphere, tectonics, signal multi-path | 6 | 10 |

# GPS Data

GPS RINEX data was supplied for spanning a 7-day period from 23 June 2013 to 29 June 2013 (day of year 174 to 180) for the station PDM1. Figure 1 shows the location of the station. Table 2 lists the GPS receiver and antenna type at this site. An antenna height of 0.0140m to the Antenna Reference Point (ARP) has been adopted for the GPS data processing. The ARP is the reference point as defined by IGS and the RINEX specifications.

The AFN/ARGN/IGS network sites used in the GPS data processing are plotted in Figure 1. Table 2 lists the GPS receiver and antenna type used in the GPS data processing for each of the AFN/ARGN/IGS network sites. Table 3 lists the GPS antenna heights used in the GPS data processing for the AFN/ARGN/IGS and the PDM1 stations.

# GPS Data Irregularities

No irregularities were identified in the GPS data supplied in RINEX format from the PDM1 station.

A figure shows the station PDM1 located in Central Queensland and other AFN staions across Australia including Perth, Ceduna, Melbourne, Hobart, Sydney, Darwin, Karratha, Alice Spring and MacQuarie Island.

Figure 1: Station PDM1 (circle) at the BMA Peak Downs Mine in Central Queensland and AFN/ARGN/IGS (black triangles) stations used in GPS data processing.

Table 2: GPS receiver and antenna types for the PDM1 station and the AFN/ARGN/IGS (bold station names) sites.

| Station | GPS receiver type | GPS antenna serial number | IGS antenna type and dome type |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PDM1 | LEICA GRX1200GGPRO | 06450065 | LEIAX1202GG NONE |
| ALIC | LEICA GRX1200GGPRO | 09370001 | LEIAR25.R3 NONE |
| CEDU | TRIMBLE NETR8 | 194 | AOAD/M\_T AUST |
| DARW | GRX1200GGPRO | CR13354 | ASH700936D\_M NONE |
| HOB2 | LEICA GRX1200GGPRO | 203 | AOAD/M\_T NONE |
| KARR | TRIMBLE NETR8 | 4938353444 | TRM59800.00 NONE |
| MAC1 | LEICA GRX1200+GNSS | 308 | AOAD/M\_T AUST |
| MOBS | LEICA GRX1200GGPRO | CR20020709 | ASH701945C\_M NONE |
| PERT | TRIMBLE NETR9 | 5220354498 | TRM59800.00 NONE |
| STR1 | LEICA GRX1200GGPRO | CR620023911 | ASH701945C\_M NONE |
| SYDN | JPS E\_GGD | CR519994908 | ASH701945C\_M NONE |
| TIDB | ASHTECH UZ-12 | 205 | AOAD/M\_T JPLA |
| TOW2 | LEICA GRX1200GGPRO | 09310016 | LEIAR25.R3 NONE |
| YARR | LEICA GRX1200PRO | 103314 | LEIAT504 NONE |

Table 3: GPS antenna heights to ARP used in GPS processing for the PDM1 station and minimally constrained AFN/ARGN/IGS (bold station names) stations.

| Station | Domes number | Antenna height to ARP (m) | Station | Domes number | Antenna height to ARP (m) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PDM1 | - | 0.0140 | MOBS | 50182M001 | 0.0000 |
| ALIC | 50137M001 | 0.0015 | PERT | 50133M001 | 0.0595 |
| CEDU | 50138M001 | 0.0060 | STR1 | 50119M002 | 0.0040 |
| DARW | 50134M001 | 0.0025 | SYDN | 50124M003 | 0.0300 |
| HOB2 | 50116M004 | 0.0000 | TIDB | 50103M108 | 0.0614 |
| KARR | 50139M001 | 0.0010 | TOW2 | 50140M001 | 0.0033 |
| MAC1 | 50135M001 | 0.0280 | YARR | 50107M006 | 0.0045 |

# Method

Analysis was undertaken following the procedures detailed in Geoscience Australia’s GPS Analysis Manual for the Verification of Position Issue 1.15.

In summary, daily solutions of the PDM1 station and AFN/ARGN/IGS/other site data were processed using Bernese GPS Processing Software version 5.0. The Bernese GPS Software conforms to the IERS2003 conventions. IGS final GPS satellite ephemerides and earth orientation parameters were used in the computations. The double difference carrier phase observables at 30-second epoch intervals were used for GPS data processing. Other measurement modelling and parameter estimation included:

* Receiver clock corrections.
* Absolute antenna elevation-dependent phase centre variation corrections.
* Solid earth tide displacements.
* Ocean tide loading displacements.
* Elevation cutoff of 10° for all observations.
* QIF integer ambiguity resolution strategy.
* Elevation dependent observation weighting.
* Troposphere zenith delays estimated at 1-hour intervals for all stations.
* Minimum constraint condition for daily network solution in terms of the ITRF2008 using subset of the IGS08 reference stations.

This solution was transformed to GDA94 using the transformation approach detailed in: ITRF to GDA94 coordinate transformation, John Dawson and Alex Woods, Journal of Applied Geodesy 4 (2010), no. 4, pp. 189-199, available online at <http://www.reference-global.com/loi/jag>.

# Results

Table 4 lists the Root Mean Square (RMS) of the daily station coordinate values. Table 5 lists the station coordinates resulting from the combination of the daily ITRF solutions and their subsequent transformation to GDA94.

Table 4: Root Mean Square (RMS) of daily PDM1 station and minimally constrained AFN/ARGN/IGS (bold station names) station coordinates.

| Station | North  (mm) | East  (mm) | Up  (mm) | Station | North  (mm) | East  (mm) | Up  (mm) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PDM1 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 5.2 | MOBS | 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| ALIC | 0.4 | 0.7 | 3.1 | PERT | 0.7 | 0.9 | 6.1 |
| CEDU | 0.2 | 0.7 | 3.0 | STR1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| DARW | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.7 | SYDN | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.9 |
| HOB2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 3.9 | TIDB | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| KARR | 1.9 | 0.8 | 3.1 | TOW2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 4.5 |
| MAC1 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 3.8 | YARR | 0.5 | 0.6 | 3.2 |

Table 5: Computed Geocentric Datum of Australia (GDA94) geodetic coordinates and their uncertainty for the PDM1 station. The uncertainties are calculated in accordance with the principles of the ISO Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (1995), with an interval estimated to have a confidence level of 95% at the time of verification. The combined standard uncertainty was converted to an expanded uncertainty using a coverage factor, k, of 2.

| Station | Longitude (DMS east)  Uncertainty (m) | | | Latitude (DMS south)  Uncertainty (m) | | | Ellipsoidal height (m)  Uncertainty (m) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PDM1 | 148 | 10 | 27.52674 | 22 | 15 | 13.05950 | 320.2465 |
|  |  |  | ±0.007 |  |  | ±0.007 | ±0.018 |

END OF REPORT